arXiv:1608.04538v1 [math.GR] 16 Aug 2016

CLOSED INVERSE SUBSEMIGROUPS OF GRAPH INVERSE SEMIGROUPS

AMAL ALALI & N.D. GILBERT

School of Mathematical and Computer Sciences
and the Maxwell Institute for the Mathematical Sciences,
Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, U.K.
asa80@hw.ac.uk, N.D.Gilbert@hw.ac.uk

ABSTRACT. As part of his study of representations of the polycylic monoids, M.V. Lawson described all the closed inverse submonoids of a polycyclic monoid P_n and classified them up to conjugacy. We show that Lawson's description can be extended to closed inverse subsemigroups of graph inverse semigroups. We then apply B. Schein's theory of cosets in inverse semigroups to the closed inverse subsemigroups of graph inverse semigroups: we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a closed inverse subsemigroup of a graph inverse semigroup to have finite index, and determine the value of the index when it is finite.

AMS 2000 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 20M18
Secondary 20M30, 05C25

1. INTRODUCTION

Graph inverse semigroups were introduced by Ash and Hall [3]: the construction, recalled in detail in section 2.2, associates to any directed graph Γ an inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ whose elements are pairs of directed paths in Γ with the same initial vertex. If Γ has a single vertex and n edges with $n > 1$, then $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ is the polycyclic monoid P_n as defined by Nivat and Perrot [12]: if $n = 1$ then $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ is the bicyclic monoid B with an adjoined zero. Ash and Hall give necessary and sufficient conditions on the structure of Γ for $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ to be congruence-free, and they use graph inverse semigroups to study the realisation of finite posets as the posets of $\mathcal{J}$–classes in finite semigroups. The structure of graph inverse semigroups as HNN extensions of inverse semigroups with zero was presented in [4, section 5]. For more recent work on the structure of graph inverse semigroups, we refer to [6, 10, 11]. Connections between graph inverse semigroups and graph C^*–algebras have been fruitfully studied in [13].

As part of his study of representations of the polycylic monoids, Lawson [8] described all the closed inverse submonoids of a polycyclic monoid P_n and classified them up to conjugacy. We show in section 3 that Lawson's description can be extended to closed inverse subsemigroups of graph inverse semigroups. As in Lawson's study, there are three types: finite chains of idempotents, infinite chains of idempotents, and closed inverse subsemigroups of *cycle type* that are generated (as closed inverse subsemigroups) by a single

non-idempotent element. In section 4 we apply Schein's theory of cosets in inverse subgroups [14] to the closed inverse subsemigroups of graph inverse semigroups as classified in section 3: we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a closed inverse subsemigroup L of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ to have finite index, and determine the value of the index when it is finite.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Cosets.** Let S be an inverse semigroup with semilattice of idempotents $E(S)$. We recall that the *natural partial order* on S is defined by

$$s \leqslant t \iff \text{there exists } e \in E(S) \text{ such that } s = et\,.$$

A subset $A \subseteq S$ is *closed* if, whenever $a \in A$ and $a \leqslant s$, then $s \in A$. The closure $B^{\uparrow}$ of a subset $B \subseteq S$ is defined as

$$B^{\uparrow} = \{s \in S : s \geqslant b \text{ for some } b \in B\}\,.$$

A subset L of S is *full* if $E(S) \subseteq L$.

Let L be a closed inverse subsemigroup of S, and let $t \in S$ with $tt^{-1} \in L$. Then the subset

$$(Lt)^{\uparrow} = \{s \in S : \text{there exists } x \in L \text{ with } s \geqslant xt\}$$

is a (right) coset of L in S. For the basic theory of such cosets we refer to [14]: the essential facts that we require are contained in the following result.

Proposition 2.1. [14, Proposition 6.] *Let L be a closed inverse subsemigroup of S.*

(a) *Suppose that C is a coset of L. Then $(CC^{-1})^{\uparrow} = L$.*
(b) *If $t \in C$ then $tt^{-1} \in L$ and $C = (Lt)^{\uparrow}$. Hence two cosets of L are either disjoint or they coincide.*
(c) *Two elements $a, b \in S$ belong to the same coset C of L if and only if $ab^{-1} \in L$.*

We note that the cosets of L partition S if and only if L is full in S. The cardinality of the set of cosets of L in S is the *index* of L in S, denoted by $[S : L]$.

The closed inverse submonoids of free inverse monoids were completely described by Margolis and Meakin in [9]. For other related work on inverse subsemigroups of finite index, see [2] and the first author's PhD thesis [1].

2.2. **Graph inverse semigroups.** Let Γ be a finite directed graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ and edge set $E(\Gamma)$. Let $\vec{\mathscr{P}}(\Gamma)$ be the path category of Γ, with source and target maps $\mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{r}$. We note that $\vec{\mathscr{P}}(\Gamma)$ admits *empty* (or *length zero*) paths that consists of a single vertex. The *graph inverse semigroup* $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ of Γ has underlying set

$$\{(v, w) : v, w \in \vec{\mathscr{P}}(\Gamma)\,, \mathbf{d}(v) = \mathbf{d}(w)\} \cup \{0\}$$

equipped with the binary operation

$$(t, u)(v, w) = \begin{cases} (t, pw) & \text{if } u = pv \text{ in } \vec{\mathscr{P}}(\Gamma), \\ (pt, w) & \text{if } v = pu \text{ in } \vec{\mathscr{P}}(\Gamma), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This composition is illustrated in the following diagrams:



(t,pv)(v,w) = (u,pw)



(t,u)(pu,w) = (pt,w)

The inverse of (v, w) is given by $(v, w)^{-1} = (w, v)$. The idempotents of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ are the pairs (u, u) and 0: if we identify $E(\mathcal{S}(G))$ with $\vec{\mathscr{P}}(G) \cup \{0\}$, then $\vec{\mathscr{P}}(G) \cup \{0\}$ becomes a semilattice with ordering given by

$$u \leqslant v \quad \text{if and only if } v \text{ is a suffix of } u \tag{2.1}$$

and composition (meet)

$$u \wedge v = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } v \text{ is a suffix of } u, \\ v & \text{if } u \text{ is a suffix of } v, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Hence $u \wedge b$ is non-zero if and only if one of u, v is a suffix of the other: in this case we say that u, v are *suffix comparable*.

The natural partial order on non-zero elements of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is then given by $(t, u) \leqslant (v, w)$ if and only if there exists a path $p \in \Gamma$ such that $t = pv$ and $u = pw$: that is, we descend in the natural partial order from (v, w) by prepending the same prefix to each of v and w., and ascend from (v, w) by deleting an identical prefix from each of v and w. Recall that an inverse semigroup S with zero $0 \in S$ is said to be E^**–unitary*, if whenever $e \in E(S), e \neq 0$ and $s \in S$ with $s \geqslant e$ then $s \in E(S)$. It is clear that graph inverse semigroups are E^*–unitary. For further structural results about graph inverse semigroups, we refer to [6, 10]. Graph inverse semigroups as topological inverse semigroups have been recently studied in [11].

3. Closed inverse subsemigroups of graph inverse semigroups

Our first result generalizes – and closely follows – Lawson's classification [8, Theorem 4.3] of closed inverse submonoids of the polycyclic monoids P_n to the closed inverse subsemigroups of graph inverse semigroups $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$. Given Lawson's insights, the generalization is largely routine, but it is perhaps slightly surprising that the classification extends from bouquets of circles (giving the polycyclic monoids as graph inverse semigroups) to arbitrary finite directed graphs, and so we have presented it in detail. Our notational conventions also differ slightly from those in [8].

Theorem 3.1. *In a graph inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ there are three types of proper closed inverse subsemigroups L:*

(a) *Finite chain type: L consists of a finite chain of idempotents.*

(b) *Infinite chain type: L consists of an infinite chain of idempotents.*
(c) *Cycle type: L has the form*

$$L = L_{p,d} = \{(vp^r d, vp^s d) : r, s \geqslant 0 \text{ with } v \text{ a suffix of } p\} \cup \{(q, q) : q \text{ a suffix of } d\},$$

where p is a directed circuit in Γ, d is a directed path in Γ starting at the initial point of p, and where p, d do not share a non-trivial prefix. In this case, L is the smallest closed inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ containing (d, pd).

Proof. It is easy to see that closed chains of idempotents are indeed closed inverse subsemigroups. For the cycle type, if $L = L_{p,d}$ then any two elements are suffix comparable, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}(q, q)(q', q') &= (q \wedge q', q \wedge q') \text{ for suffixes } q, q' \text{ of } d,\\ (q, q)(vp^r d, vp^s d) &= (vp^r d, vp^s d) = (vp^r d, vp^s d)(q, q).\end{aligned}$$

Now consider $(vp^r d, vp^s d)(wp^j d, wp^k d)$: write $p = v_0 v$ and suppose that $s < j$. Then $wp^j d = wp^{j-s-1} v_0 vp^s d$ and so

$$(vp^r d, vp^s d)(wp^j d, wp^k d) = (wp^{j-s-1} v_0 vp^r d, wp^k d) = (wp^{j-s+r} d, wp^k d) \in L\,,$$

and a similar calculation applies if $s > j$. If $s = j$ and v is a suffix of w, say $w = v_1 v$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(vp^r d, vp^s d)(wp^s d, wp^k d) &= (vp^r d, vp^s d)(v_1 vp^s d, wp^k d)\\ &= (v_1 vp^r d, wp^k d)\\ &= (wp^r d, wp^k d) \in L\end{aligned}$$

and a similar calculation applies if $s = j$ and w is a suffix of v. Hence L is a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$, and since the inverse of an element of L is clearly also in L, we deduce that L is an inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$. Since we ascend in the natural partial order in L by deleting identical prefixes from the paths $vp^r d$ and $vp^s d$, or from a given suffix of d, it is also clear that L is closed.

If F is a closed inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ and contains (d, pd), then for any $m, n \geqslant 0$ we have $(pd, d)^m (d, pd)^n = (p^m d, d)(d, p^n d) = (p^m d, p^n d) \in F$. Ascending in the natural partial order, we may obtain any element of $L_{p,d}$, and so $L_{p,d} \subseteq F$.

Let L be a closed inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$. If w and w' are paths occurring in elements of L and are not suffix comparable, then the product of the idempotents (w, w) and (w', w') in L is equal to 0, and so $0 \in L$ and by closure $L = \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$. Hence if L is proper, any two paths occurring in elements of L are suffix comparable and hence have the same terminal vertex. By definition, if $(u, v) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ then u, v have the same initial vertex: hence if $(u, v) \in L$ then u, v have the same initial and the same terminal vertex in Γ. Suffix comparability then ensures that any proper closed inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ consisting entirely of idempotents is either a finite or an infinite chain. We note that in the second case, in order to obtain directed paths of arbitrary length, Γ must contain a directed circuit.

We shall now describe those closed inverse subsemigroups of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ which contain non-idempotent elements. Suppose that $L \neq E(L)$ is a closed inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$. Then there exists $(u, v) \in L$, with $u \neq v$, and we may assume that the path u is shorter than the path v. Hence u is a suffix of v and so $v = pu$ for some path p. Since u and v have

the same initial and terminal vertices, p must be a directed circuit in Γ. If p and u share a common prefix, with $p = ap_1$ and $u = au_1$ then

$$(u_1, p_1u) \geqslant (au_1, ap_1u) = (u, pu)$$

and so by closure, $(u_1, p_1u) \in L$.

Amongst the non-idempotent elements $(u, pu) \in L$, choose $u = d$ to have smallest possible length, and then having chosen d, choose p to be a non-empty directed circuit of smallest possible length. Then d, p do not share a non-trivial prefix. Now for any $m \geqslant 0$ we have $(p^m d, p^m d) \in E(L)$ and so, if $(w_1, w_2) \in L$, each w_i is a suffix of some directed path $p^{m_i} d$. Since L is closed, every suffix of d is in L and by minimality of $|d|$, every element of L that contains a suffix of d is an idempotent (q, q). Hence if $|w_i| < |d|$ we have $w_1 = w_2$. So we may now assume that for $i = 1, 2$ we have $|w_i| \geqslant |d|$, and so $w_1 = up^r d$, $w_2 = vp^s d$ for some $r, s \geqslant 0$ and suffixes u, v of p. □

From the result of the previous Theorem, we may immediately conclude the following:

Corollary 3.2. *If the graph Γ contains no directed circuit, then every proper closed inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ is a chain of idempotents.*

Our next result, based on [8, Theorem 4.4] which treats the polycyclic monoids, classifies the closed inverse subsemigroups of a graph inverse semigroup up to conjugacy. We begin with the following definitions

Definition 3.3. Let $L = (u, u)^{\uparrow}$ be a closed inverse subsemigroup of finite chain type in a graph inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$. We call the initial vertex of the directed path u the *root* of L.

Adapting ideas of [7, Section 1.3] from words to paths in Γ:

Definition 3.4. Two paths p, q in Γ are *conjugate* if there are paths u, v in Γ such that $p = uv$ and $q = vu$. Equivalently, (see [7, Proposition 1.3.4]) there exists a path w in Γ such that $wp = qw$. Conjugate paths must be directed circuits in Γ, and conjugacy amounts to the selection of an alternative initial edge.

The following Lemma is due to Lawson and is extracted from the proof of [8, Theorem 4.4].

Lemma 3.5. *Let S be an E^*–unitary inverse semigroup. If H and K are conjugate closed inverse subsemigroups of S with $H \neq S \neq K$ and $H \subseteq E(S)$ then $K \subseteq E(S)$. Moreover, if H has a minimum idempotent, then so does K.*

Proof. There exists $s \in S$ with $s^{-1}Hs \subseteq K$ and $sKs^{-1} \subseteq H$. Let $k \in K$: then $k \neq 0$ and $sks^{-1} \in H$ and so $sks^{-1} \in E(S)$. It follows that $s^{-1}(sks^{-1})s = (s^{-1}s)k(s^{-1}s) \in E(S)$ and $(s^{-1}s)k(s^{-1}s) \leqslant k$. Since S is E^*–unitary, we deduce that $k \in E(S)$.

Now suppose that $m \in H \subseteq E(S)$ is the minimum idempotent and that $e \in K$. Then $m \leqslant ses^{-1}$ and so

$$s^{-1}ms \leqslant s^{-1}ses^{-1}s = es^{-1}s \leqslant e$$

and so $s^{-1}ms$ is a minimum idempotent in K. □

Theorem 3.6.

(a) *Let L be a closed inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ of finite chain type. Then all closed inverse subsemigroups conjugate to L are of finite chain type. Two closed inverse subsemigroups $L = (u,u)^{\uparrow}$ and $K = (v,v)^{\uparrow}$ are conjugate in $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ if and only if they have the same root.*

(b) *Let L be a closed inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ of infinite chain type. Then all closed inverse subsemigroups conjugate to L are also of infinite chain type. Two closed inverse subsemigroups of infinite chain type are conjugate if and only if there are idempotents $(s,s) \in L$ and $(t,t) \in K$ such that for all paths p in Γ, we have that $(ps,ps) \in L$ if and only if $(pt,pt) \in K$.*

(c) *Let L be a closed inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ of cycle type. The only closed inverse subsemigroups conjugate to L are of cycle type. Moreover, $L_{p,d}$ is conjugate to $L_{q,k}$ if and only if p and q are conjugate directed circuits in Γ.*

Proof. (a) It follows from Lemma 3.5 that if L has finite chain type then so does every closed inverse subsemigroup conjugate to L .

Suppose that L and K have the same root $x_0 \in V(\Gamma)$. Then $(u,v) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$, and for any suffix w of u we have

$$(v,u)(w,w)(u,v) = (v,v) \in K\,.$$

Similarly, for any suffix t of v, $(u,v)(t,t)(v,u) = (u,u) \in L$. Hence L and K are conjugate.

Conversely, suppose that L and K are conjugate, with conjugating element $(p,q) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$, so that for any suffixes w of u and t of v we have

$$(q,p)(w,w)(p,q) \in K \text{ and } (p,q)(t,t)(q,p) \in L\,.$$

Then $(q,p)(\mathbf{r}(u),\mathbf{r}(u))(p,q) \in K$, so that p and $\mathbf{r}(u)$ are suffix-comparable: hence p also ends at $\mathbf{r}(u)$, and $(q,p)(\mathbf{r}(u),\mathbf{r}(u))(p,q) = (q,q) \in K$. Therefore q is a suffix of v. Similarly, p is a suffix of u.

Let $v = v_1 q$: then

$$(p,q)(v,v)(q,p) = (p,q)(v_1 q, v_1 q)(q,p) = (v_1 p, v_1 p) \in L$$

and so $v_1 p$ is a suffix of u. Let $u = u_0 v_1 p$: then

$$(q,p)(u,u)(p,q) = (q,p)(u_0 v_1 p, u_0 v_1 p)(p,q) = (u_0 v_1 q, u_0 v_1 q) \in K$$

and so $u_0 v_1 q$ is a suffix of v. But $v = v_1 q$ and so u_0 is a vertex (namely the root of L), and $u = v_1 p$. Hence u and v have the same initial vertex, and so L and K have the same root.

(b) By Lemma 3.5 any closed inverse subsemigroup K conjugate to L must be of chain type, and by part (a) K must be infinite. Suppose that $(t,s)L(s,t) \subseteq K$ and $(s,t)K(t,s) \subseteq L$. Since $0 \notin K$ we have, for all $(u,u) \in L$, that s is suffix comparable with u and similarly for all $(v,v) \in K$, that t is suffix comparable with v. If we consider u with $|u| \geqslant |s|$ then s must be a suffix of u and by closure of L we have $(s,s) \in L$. Similarly $(t,t) \in K$. Suppose that $(ps,ps) \in L$. Then $(t,s)(ps,ps)(s,t) = (pt,pt) \in K$ and similarly if $(pt,pt) \in K$ then $(ps,ps) \in L$.

Conversely, if s and t exist as in the Theorem and $(w,w) \in L$ then s is suffix comparable with w.
If w is a suffix of s, with $s = hw$, then

$$(t,s)(w,w)(s,t) = (t,hw)(w,w)(hw,t) = (t,t) \in K$$

and if s is a suffix of w with $w = ps$ then $(ps, ps) \in L$ and so

$$(t,s)(w,w)(s,t) = (t,s)(ps,ps)(s,t) = (pt,pt) \in K\,.$$

Similarly $(s,t)K(t,s) \subseteq L$, and L and K are conjugate.

(c) By parts (a) and (b), any closed inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ that is conjugate to $L_{p,d}$ must be of cycle type. Suppose that the closed inverse subsemigroups $L_{p,d}$ and $L_{q,k}$ are conjugate in $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$, and so there exists $(s,t) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ such that

$$(t,s)L_{p,d}(s,t) \subseteq L_{q,k} \tag{3.1}$$

$$(s,t)L_{q,k}(t,s) \subseteq L_{p,d}. \tag{3.2}$$

Since $L_{q,k}$ is closed and $L_{p,d}$ is the smallest closed inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ containing (d, pd), then (3.1) is equivalent to $(t,s)\,(d,pd)\,(s,t) \in L_{q,k}$. Also, since $0 \notin L_{q,k}$ we must have s suffix-comparable with u and v whenever (u,v) is an element of $L_{p,d}$. Hence $(s,s) \in L_{p,d}$, and similarly $(t,t) \in L_{q,k}$.

First suppose that $s = up^a d$ and $t = vq^b k$ for some $a, b \geqslant 0$, where u is a suffix of p and v is a suffix of q. Write $p = hu$: then

$$\begin{aligned}(t,s)\,(d,pd)\,(s,t) &= (vq^b k, up^a d)\,(d,pd)\,(up^a d, vq^b k)\\ &= (vq^b k, up)\,(u, vq^b k)\\ &= (vq^b k, uhvq^b k) \in L_{q,k}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $uhvq^b k = vq^m k$ for some $m \geqslant 0$. Comparing lengths of these directed paths, we see that $m > b$, and then after cancellation we obtain $uhv = vq^{m-b}$. Hence uh is conjugate to some power of q, and since uh is a conjugate of p, we conclude that p is conjugate to some power of q.

Now suppose that s is a suffix of d and write $d = cs$. With t as before, we now obtain

$$(t,s)\,(d,pd)\,(s,t) = (vq^b k, s)\,(cs, pcs)\,(s, vq^b k) = (cvq^b k, pcvq^b k) \in L_{q,k}.$$

It follows that $pcvq^b k = cvq^m k$ for some $m \geqslant 0$. Again $m > b$ and after cancellation we obtain $pcv = cvq^{m-b}$. Here we see directly that p is conjugate to a power of q.

Now suppose that s is a suffix of d and write $d = cs$, and that t is a suffix of k and write $k = jt$. We now obtain

$$(t,s)\,(d,pd)\,(s,t) = (t,s)\,(cs,pcs)\,(s,t) = (ct,pct) \in L_{q,k}.$$

Since by assumption p is not the empty path, we have $ct = wq^a k$ and $pct = wq^b k$ for some suffix w of q and some $a, b \geqslant 0$. Again comparing lengths, we see that $b > a$, and then $pct = pwq^a k = wq^b k$. After cancellation we obtain $pw = wq^{b-a}$ and again p is conjugate to a power of q.

Hence for each possibility of s, we deduce from (3.1) that p is conjugate to some power of q. Using equation (3.2) we deduce similarly that q is conjugate to a power of p. Again comparing lengths, we conclude that p and q are conjugate.

Conversely, if p, q are conjugate, suppose that $p = uv$ and $q = vu$. Then it is esay to check that setting $s = k$ and $t = vd$ furnishes a pair (s,t) satisfying (3.1) and (3.2). □

Remark 3.7. For the polycyclic monoids P_n $(n \geqslant 2)$, we obtain the classification of closed inverse submonoids up to conjugacy given in [8, Theorem 4.4] by applying Theorem 3.6 to the graph Γ with one vertex and n loops labelled $a_1, \ldots, a_n$. For the case $n = 1$, with

a single loop labelled a, we obtain the graph inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma) = B \cup \{0\}$, where B is the bicyclic monoid. A proper closed inverse subsemigroup L of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ cannot contain $\{0\}$ and so is a proper closed inverse subsemigroup of B. If $L \subseteq E(B)$ then by Theorem 3.1, L is either $E(B)$ itself or is of finite chain type, and part (a) of Theorem 3.6, then shows that all closed inverse subsemigroup of finite chain type in B are then conjugate. By Theorem 3.1, a closed inverse subsemigroup L of B of cycle type consists of elements of the form (qp^r, qp^s) with $r, s \geqslant 0$, and where where $p = a^m$ for some $m \geqslant 1$ and $q = a^k$ for some k with $0 \leqslant k \leqslant m-1$: that is, elements of the form (a^{rm+k}, a^{sm+k}). The subsemigroup L is therefore isomorphic to the fundamental simple inverse ω–semigroup B_m, discussed in [5, section 5.7].

4. The index of closed inverse subsemigroups in graph inverse semigroups

We first discuss the index of closed inverse subsemigroups of finite and infinite chain type in $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$. For a fixed path w in Γ and a vertex v of w, we define $N_{v,w}^{\Gamma}$ to be the number of distinct directed paths in Γ whose initial vertex is v but whose first edge is not in w. The empty path v is one such path.

Theorem 4.1.

(a) *Let L be a closed inverse subsemigroup of finite chain type in $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$, with minimal element (w, w). Then L has infinite index in $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ if and only if there exists a non-empty directed circuit c in Γ and a (possibly empty) directed path g from some vertex v_0 of w to a vertex of c and with g having no edge in common with $c \cup w$.*

(b) *If $L = (w, w)^{\uparrow}$ and L has finite index in $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ then*

$$[\mathcal{S}(\Gamma) : L] = \sum_{v \in V(w)} N_{v,w}^{\Gamma}\,.$$

(c) *Let L be a closed inverse subsemigroup of infinite chain type in $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$. Then L has infinite index in $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. (a) Let L have finite chain type. A coset representative of L has the form (q, u) where q is some suffix of w, and (q, u) have the same initial vertex. If L has infinite index, then there are infinitely many distinct choices for (q, u) and since Γ is finite, there must be a directed circuit in Γ as described.

Conversely, suppose that g, c exist. Let q be the suffix of w that has initial vertex v_0. Then $q \in L$ and so for any $k \geqslant 0$ the coset $C_k = L(q, gc^k)^{\uparrow}$ exists. Now for $k > l$, we have if g is non-empty, that

$$(q, gc^k)(q, gc^l)^{-1} = (q, gc^k)(gc^l, q) = 0 \notin L$$

and so by part (c) of Proposition 2.1, the cosets C_k and C_l are distinct. If g is empty then we have

$$(q, c^k)\,(q, c^l)^{-1} = (q, c^k)\,(c^l, q) = (q, c^{k-l}q) \notin L$$

and again the cosets C_k and C_l are distinct.

(b) By part (a) there are no directed cycles accessible from any vertex of w, and so $N_{v,w}^{\Gamma}$ is finite for each vertex v of w. A coset representative of L has the form (s, t) where s is a suffix of w. Suppose that two such elements, (s_1, t_1) and (s_2, t_2), represent the same

coset. Then $(s_1,t_1)(t_2,s_2) \in L$: in particular the product is non-zero and so t_1, t_2 are suffix comparable. We may assume that $t_2 = ht_1$: then $(s_1,t_1)(ht_1,s_2) = (hs_1,s_2)$ and this is in L if and only if $s_2 = hs_1$. Therefore $L(s_1,t_1)^\uparrow = L(s_2,t_2)^\uparrow$ if and only if $(s_2,t_2) = (hs_1,ht_1)$, and so the distinct coset representatives are the pairs (s,t) where s is a suffix of w, s and t have the same initial vertex, but do not share the same initial edge. It follows that the number of distinct cosets is $\sum_{v\in V(w)} N^\Gamma_{v,w}$, and L itself is represented by $(\mathbf{r}(w),\mathbf{r}(w))$.

(c) If L has infinite chain type then the elements of L comprise the idempotents determined by an infinite sequence of directed paths in Γ, each of which is a suffix of the other. Eventually then, we find a path cq where c is a directed circuit, and $(cq,cq) \in L$. Then for each $k \geqslant 0$, the element (q,c^kq) represents a coset $C_k = L(q,c^kq)^\uparrow$.
Now for $k > l$,

$$(q,c^kq)\,(q,c^lq)^{-1} = (q,c^kq)\,(c^lq,q) = (q,c^{k-l}q) \notin L$$

and the cosets C_k and C_l are distinct. □

Example 4.2. We illustrate the index computation in part (b) of Theorem 4.1 with Γ equal to the finite chain with n edges $e_1,\ldots,e_n$ and $n+1$ vertices $v_0,v_1,\ldots,v_n$:

$$v_n \xrightarrow{\ e_n\ } v_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\ e_{n-1}\ } \cdots \longrightarrow v_1 \xrightarrow{\ e_1\ } v_0$$

Here $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ is finite, and every closed inverse subsemigroup is of finite cycle type and has finite index. The number of paths in Γ with initial vertex v_j is $j+1$, and so

$$|\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)| = \sum_{j=0}^{n}(j+1)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} j^2 = \frac{1}{6}(n+1)(n+2)(2n+3)\,.$$

We let w be the path $e_n\cdots e_1$ and $L = (w,w)^\uparrow$. Since w has $n+1$ suffixes, we have $|L| = n+1$. An element (s,t) lies in a coset of L if and only if s is a suffix of w and $\mathbf{d}(s) = \mathbf{d}(t)$: hence the total number of elements in all the cosets of L is $\sum_{j=0}^{n}(j+1) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} j = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)$.

Now $N^\Gamma_{v_i,w} = 1$ since only the length zero path at v_i is counted, and so $[\mathcal{S}(\Gamma) : L] = n+1$.

Let q_i be the path $e_i\ldots e_1$, so that $q_n = w$, and set $q_0 = v_0$. The $n+1$ cosets are then represented by the elements (q_i,v_i), $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, and

$$\begin{aligned} L(q_i,v_i)^\uparrow &= \{(q_k,q_k)(q_i,v_i) : 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n\}^\uparrow \\ &= (\{(q_k, e_k\cdots e_{i+1} : i < k \leqslant n\} \cup \{(q_i,v_i)\})^\uparrow \\ &= \{(q_n,e_n\ldots e_{i+1}),\ldots,(q_{i+1},e_{i+1}),(q_i,v_i)\} \end{aligned}$$

and so $|L(q_i,v_i)^\uparrow| = n-i+1$. Counting the total number of elements in all the cosets of L we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n}(n-i+1) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)$$

as before.

We now discuss the closed inverse subsemigroups of cycle type.

Theorem 4.3. *A closed inverse subsemigroup $L_{p,d}$ of cycle type in $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$, such that p is a circuit with at least two distinct edges, has infinite index in $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Write $p = uv$ where each of u, v is non-empty and one contains an edge not in the other. Let c be the conjugate circuit vu. Then for $k \geqslant 1,$ the element $(vd, c^k) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ and determines a coset $C_k = L_{p,d}(vd, c^k)^{\uparrow}$. Then for $k > l$,

$$(vd, c^k)\,(vd, c^l)^{-1} = (vd, c^k)\,(c^l, vd) = (vd, c^{k-l}vd) = (vd, up^{k-l}d) \notin L_{p,d}$$

and the cosets C_k and C_l are distinct. □

We now consider a graph Γ containing an edge a that is a directed circuit of length one, and a closed inverse subsemigroup $L_{a^m,\,d}$ of cycle type.

Theorem 4.4.

(a) *A closed inverse subsemigroup $L = L_{a^m,\,d}$ of $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ is of infinite index if there exists a directed cycle c in Γ that contains an edge e with $a \neq e$, and a (possibly empty) directed path g from some vertex v_0 of d to a vertex of c and with g having no edge in common with $c \cup d$.*

(b) *Let $L = L_{a^m,\,d}$ where a is a directed circuit in Γ of length one, and there are no other directed circuits in Γ attached to a vertex of d. Then L has finite index in $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$, given by*

$$[\mathcal{S}(\Gamma) : L_{a^m,d}] = (m-1)N^{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{d}(a),a} + \sum_{v \in V(d)} N^{\Gamma\setminus\{a\}}_{v,d}\,.$$

Proof. (a) Suppose that c, g exist and let q be the suffix of d with initial vertex v_0.

Let $C_k = L(q, gc^k)^{\uparrow}$. Then if g is non-empty, for $k > l$,

$$(q, gc^k)\,(q, gc^l)^{-1} = (q, gc^k)\,(gc^l, q) = 0 \notin L$$

and the cosets C_k and C_l are distinct. If g is empty, then

$$(q, c^k)\,(q, c^l)^{-1} = (q, c^k)\,(c^l, q) = (q, c^{k-l}q) \notin L$$

and the cosets C_k and C_l are again distinct.

(b) We are now reduced to the case that the only directed circuits in Γ that can be attached to a vertex of d are powers of the loop a. A coset representative of $L = L_{a^m,d}$ has the form $(a^r d, w)$ with $r \geqslant 0$, or (q, w) where q is a proper suffix of d. Hence w has the same initial vertex v as d or of some proper suffix of d. We can only construct finitely many representatives of the form (q, w). We do not need to consider paths of the form $(d, a^k w)$ for any $k \geqslant 0$ since $(d, a^k w)(w, d) = (d, a^k d) \in L$. The analysis in the proof of part (b) of Theorem 4.1 can then be repeated to show that the number of cosets obtained this way is $\sum_{v \in V(d)} N^{\Gamma\setminus\{a\}}_{v,d}$.

We now consider representatives of the form $(a^r d, w)$ with $r \geqslant 1$. Here w must have the form $w = a^s t$ for some $s \geqslant 0$ and some (possibly empty) directed path t not containing the edge a. If $r \equiv s \pmod m$ then $(a^r d, a^s t)(t, d) = (a^r d, a^s d) \in L$ and so $L(a^r d, a^s t)^{\uparrow} = L(d, t)^{\uparrow} = L(s, t_1)^{\uparrow}$ for some suffix s of d and path t_1 with the same initial vertex as s but not sharing the same first edge. Hence $L(a^r d, a^s t)^{\uparrow}$ will be counted within the sum $\sum_{v \in V(d)} N^{\Gamma\setminus\{a\}}_{v,d}$. Now fix t and consider the cosets $L(a^r d, a^s t)^{\uparrow}$ with $r \not\equiv s \pmod m$. Now given $L(a^{r_1} d, a^{s_1} t)^{\uparrow}$ and $L(a^{r_2} d, a^{s_2} t)^{\uparrow}$ with $s_1 \geqslant s_2$, we have

$$(a^{r_1} d, a^{s_1} t)(a^{r_2} d, a^{s_2} t)^{-1} = (a^{r_1} d, a^{s_1} t)(a^{s_2} t, a^{r_2} d) = (a^{r_1} d, a^{s_1 - s_2 + r_2} d)$$

and $(a^{r_1}d, a^{s_1-s_2+r_2}d) \in L$ if and only if $r_2 - s_2 \equiv r_1 - s_1 \pmod{m}$. Hence for a fixed t we can produce exactly $m-1$ distinct cosets of the form $L(a^r d, a^s t)^{\uparrow}$.

But for distinct paths t_1 and t_2, $a^{s_1}t_1$ cannot be suffix comparable with $a^{s_2}t_2$ and so

$$(a^{r_1}d, a^{s_1}t_1)(a^{r_2}d, a^{s_2}t_2)^{-1} = 0 \neq L$$

and the cosets determined by distinct paths t_1 and t_2 are distinct. Hence each of the $N^{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{d}(a),a}$ paths t starting at $\mathbf{d}(a)$, but not having a as its initial edge, contributes $m-1$ cosets. □

Example 4.5. As in Remark 3.7, we suppose that Γ consists only of the vertex x and a loop a at x so that the graph inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ is the bicyclic monoid B with a zero adjoined. From Theorem 4.1, the closed inverse submonoids of B contained in $E(B)$ have infinite index. Part (b) of Theorem 4.4 tells us that that the closed inverse submonoid $B_m = L_{a^m,x}$ of B has index m.

Example 4.6. Let Γ be the following graph:



and let $L = L_{a^2,ef}$. Then we have

$$N^{\Gamma\setminus a}_{z,ef} = 1\,, N^{\Gamma\setminus a}_{y,ef} = 2\,, N^{\Gamma\setminus a}_{x,ef} = 3\,,$$

counting the paths in the sets $\{z\}$, $\{y,k\}$ and $\{x,g,gh\}$ respectively, and $N^{\Gamma}_{x,a} = 6$, counting the paths in the set $\{x,e,g,ef,ek,gh\}$. From part (b) of Theorem 4.4 we find that $[\mathcal{S}(\Gamma), L] = 12$ and a complete set of coset representatives is

$$\{(z,z),(f,y),(f,k),(ef,x),(ef,g),(ef,gh),$$
$$(ef,a),(ef,ag),(ef,agh),(ef,ae),(ef,aek),(ef,aef)\}\ .$$

REFERENCES

[1] A. AlAli, *Cosets in Inverse Semigroups and Inverse Subsemigroups of Finite Index.* PhD thesis, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh (2016).

[2] A. AlAli and N.D. Gilbert, Inverse subsemigroups of finite index in finitely generated inverse semigroups. Preprint (2016). arxiv.org/abs/1608.04254 .

[3] C.J. Ash and T.E. Hall, Inverse semigroups on graphs. Semigroup Forum 11 (1975) 140-145.

[4] E.R. Dombi and N.D. Gilbert, HNN extensions of inverse semigroups with zero. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 142 (2007) 25-39.

[5] J.M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory.* London Math. Soc. Monographs, Oxford University Press (1995).

[6] D.G. Jones and M.V. Lawson, Graph inverse semigroups: their characterization and completion. J. Algebra 409 (2014), 444-473.

[7] M. Lothaire, *Combinatorics on Words.* Cambridge Univ.Press (1997).

[8] M.V. Lawson, Primitive partial permutation representations of the polycyclic monoids and branching function systems. Periodica Mathematica Hungarica 58(2), (2009) 189-207.

[9] S.W. Margolis and J.C. Meakin, Free inverse monoids and graph immersions. Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 3 (1993)

[10] Z. Mesyan and J.D. Mitchell, The structure of a graph inverse semigroup. Semigroup Forum 93 (2016) 111-130.

[11] Z. Mesyan, J.D. Mitchell, M. Morayne, and Y. Péresse, Topological graph inverse semigroups. Topology Appl. 208 (2016) 106-126.

[12] M. Nivat and J.F. Perrot, Une generalisation du monoide bicyclique. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér I Math. A 271 (1970) 824-827.

[13] A.L. Paterson, Graph inverse semigroups, groupoids, and their C^*–algebras. J. Operator Theory 48 (2002) 645-662.

[14] B. Schein, Cosets in groups and semigroups. In *Semigroups with applications, (eds J. M. Howie, W. D. Munn, and H. J. Weinert),* World Scientific, Singapore (1992) 205-221.